

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/604,154	ZHOU, YONG	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Angela M. Hoffa	3768	

**All Participants:**

(1) Angela M. Hoffa.

(2) Kevin Rosin.

**Status of Application:** response to non-final action

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 October 2010

**Time:** 6:00 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Scope of enablement, all claims*

Claims discussed:

*Claims 1, 6, 14*

Prior art documents discussed:

*N/A*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/A. M. Hoffa/

Examiner, Art Unit 3768

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Proposed amendments were made to enter as an examiner's amendment and allow the case. These proposed amendments are included in the final office action to be mailed with this correspondence. Applicant requested that the final office action be mailed anyway and will probably make the suggested changes in the next response to expedite allowance..